

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
10 AT SEATTLE

11 POW NEVADA, LLC,)
12) CASE NO. C17-1213 RSM
13 Plaintiff,)
14 v.) ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S
15 DOE 1, *et al.*,) MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF THE
16 Defendants.) INITIAL SCHEDULING DATES
17 _____)

18 This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion to Extend Initial Scheduling Dates.
19 (Dkt. #12). Because the dates set out in the Court's current Order Regarding Initial Disclosures,
20 Joint Status Report, and Early Settlement (Dkt. #9), do not provide Plaintiff with enough time to
21 identify and serve the Doe Defendants, do not provide the Doe Defendants with enough time to
22 appear, and do not provide the parties enough time to conduct the required Rule 26(f) conference,
23 the Court finds good cause to grant a reasonable extension of the initial scheduling dates.
24 Plaintiffs' Motion (Dkt. #12) is accordingly GRANTED.

25 //

26 //

27 //

28 //

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF THE INITIAL
SCHEDULING DATES - 1

The initial scheduling dates are reset as follows:

	Original Date	Revised Date
Deadline for FRCP 26(f) Conference	9/20/2017	11/17/2017
Initial Disclosures Pursuant to FRCP 26(a)(1)	9/27/2017	12/1/2017
Combined Joint Status Report and Discovery Plan as Required by FRCP 26(f) and Local Civil Rule 26(f)	10/4/2017	12/1/2017

DATED this 21st day of September 2017.



RICARDO S. MARTINEZ
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE